**SSR Portfolio Reflection Assignment**

Standard Addressed: [W.9-10.5](http://www.corestandards.org/ELA-Literacy/W/9-10/5/)

Directions: You will spend time reflecting upon your SSR Portfolio*.* The reflection component is a required assignment that will be a separate grade in PowerSchool. Follow all directions below.

Part 1:

**Reflection Assignment (Required)**

You will type a reflection about your SSR project by answering all the following questions:

* What was your process in approaching such a large project that was self-directed and self-paced?
* What was your best mini project and why? (Include a picture.)
* What was your worst mini project and why? (Include a picture.)
* What was your favorite mini project and why? (Include a picture.)
* Did you enjoy this project why or why not?

**Due Date**

* The **reflection** will be due by midnight on **Tuesday April 17, 2018.** You will post it to [www.responsingtoreflections.wordpress.com](http://www.responsingtoreflections.wordpress.com) . I posted this website on Schoology under the week 32 folder.

Part 2:

**Read and evaluate each other’s Reflections**

Each student will read carefully and formally evaluate 5 of your peers’ Reflections (at least two from a different class period.). Each review must contain at least one compliment, one area for improvement, and one question (five sentences total). You must also provide a grade on a scale of your own devising. Do not share the key to the scale; for example, you could give a grade of “Tyrannosaurus” and not tell us whether that is a good grade or a bad grade. Lastly, write a short paragraph about the experience of grading your peer’s work. Did you like it? Was it difficult? Was it easy to be objective rather than subjective?

Details:

• Each student will review the five entries (At least two from a different class period.)

• Each evaluation must contain at least one compliment, one area for improvement, and one question (five sentences total).

• Only comment on “grammar,” punctuation, proofreading, typos, etc. IF you give specific examples. You must explain why this "error" hindered your understanding.

• End each assessment with a grade on a scale of your own devising which you would assign this entry

• Write a short paragraph (7 sentences) of your experience evaluating your peer’s work.

• Submit to turnitin into me a document of all your evaluations, grading scale, and short paragraph.

Thoughts on evaluating:

You do not need to be expert to evaluate each other’s work. On the contrary, everyone should write their project with their classmates in mind as an audience. You should seek clarity without arrogance. When evaluating, clarity should be one of your primary criterion. Can you follow the author’s explanations? Is the author providing information at a level appropriate to this class? Secondly, evaluators should feel free to consider the perceived effort put forth in preparing the project. Is it clearly written, and free from basic typographical errors? Has the author seemed to minimally follow the assignment, matched the assignment appropriately, or perhaps gone beyond the expectations of the assignment? Feel free to mentally compare your peers’ reflections with your own.

**Due Date**

We will be completing Part 2 in the Computer Lab on April 18 and 19. You final document will be due to **Turnitin.com on April 20, 2018** at midnight.